



nbi

new buildings

I N S T I T U T E



**Western Cooling Efficiency Center Workshop on
Modern Evaporative Cooling Technologies**
July 9-10, 2007, Boulder, Colorado

ADVANCED ROOFTOP UNITS

Howdy Reichmuth, Sr. Engineer

Indirect Evaporative Hybrid – the Desert CoolAire™ Unit



With support from:




Desert CoolAire™ Package Rooftop Unit


“Hybrid” = Indirect Evap + Dx Cooling +
gas pack

- Refrigerant Capacity (DX): 48,000 btu
- Total Cooling Capacity: 60,000 btu
- Total Heating Capacity: 115,000 btu
- Compressor: 10-100% Digital modulating scroll
- VFD Blower
- Supply Air: 1800 CFM at 1 inch ESP

Unit Component Layout



Indirect Evaporative Cooling - Maisotsenko-Cycle




The Delphi HMX

formerly manufactured by Coolerado



Monitoring, Etc.




Monitoring Done By AEC

- One-minute data internet accessible
- Aggregated to one-hour data by mode
- Complemented by site measurements


Core Performance 1

A typical indirect evaporative cooling “moment”




Core Performance 2

Performance varies with outdoor temperature



Core Performance 3

Evaporative efficiency varies with airflow




Desert CoolAire Results - *draft*

Sacramento

CoolAire Site #17

09/06/06 - 09/06/06




**32 F cooling from
the HMX core!**

— 01 Outside Air Temp °F — 08 Core Inlet Air Temp °F — 15 Core Exit Air Temp °F
— 19 Supply Air Temp °F


Water Performance 1

Water use varies with outdoor temperature




Water Performance 2

Water use compared to theoretical minimum water need



Water Performance 3


Water Performance Compared to Maximum Allowed




Location, location, location

- Applicability of indirect evaporative cooling depends on entering wet bulb
- Overall efficiency depends on high need for cooling
- Equivalent psychrometric presentation in terms of wet bulb and dry bulb


Low Cooling Situation




High and Dry Cooling



California Valley Cooling




Difficult Cooling



Desert CoolAire Results - *draft*

Hourly Energy Comparison


■ high cooling case



Desert CoolAire Results - *draft*

Hourly Demand Comparison


▪ hi cooling case



Desert CoolAire Results - *draft*

Hourly Demand Comparison

■ medium cooling case



CoolAire Performance Comparison

EER Comparison of CoolAire and Reference Systems

		High Cooling Case (Tmax 103F)	
System		Avg. Daily EER	Tmax Hour EER
Reference	<i>SEER 10</i>	9.6	8.5
	<i>SEER 13</i>	11.6	10
Cool Aire	<i>Prototype</i>	12.3	15
	<i>Gen2</i>	19	25

Prototype Findings

- Energy Savings 6 - 23%
- Demand Savings 33 – 49%
- No Media Scaling*
- Non-optimal Prototype Design & Control (excessive fan energy, overuse of Dx, too much H2O)
- Possible 20%+ improvement with redesign

* 6 month observation

Steps to Commercial Intro

- Lighter, Smaller and Cheaper
- Easier install
- Greater energy savings for owners
- Training on evap for standard RTU contractors
- Market the benefits
- Extend applications/change HVAC design –
eg. Dedicated Outside Air Systems (D-OAS)

Q & A



Evaporative Cooling Challenges

- Old direct evaporative technology image
- Managing mineral scale
- Putting water usage in context
- Potential changes to ventilation design for higher airflow rates
- Lack of recognition in codes and HVAC efficiency ratings
- Lack of knowledge on the part of owners, contractors, designers, facility managers
- Advanced evap. vendors too small
- Limited regulatory, policy & utility involvement