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Electric utilities in the Southwest greatly expanded their energy efficiency programs over the past decade, thereby helping households and businesses in the region save billions of dollars, according to a new set of state fact sheets prepared by the Southwest Energy Efficiency Project (SWEEP). The state fact sheets [link] cover the energy efficiency programs of major electric utilities in Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico and Utah.

The state fact sheets review the history of energy efficiency policy for electric utilities in each state, trends in energy efficiency program funding levels, and the key impacts of energy efficiency programs implemented by utilities in each state during 2010-2019.

Electric Utilities Included in the Fact Sheets

Arizona: Arizona Public Service Company, Salt River Project, Tucson Electric Power
Colorado: Xcel Energy and Black Hills Energy
Nevada: Nevada Power Company and Sierra Pacific Power Company (NV Energy)
New Mexico: Public Service Company of New Mexico, El Paso Electric Company, Southwestern Public Service Company
Utah: Rocky Mountain Power

The fact sheets show that electric utilities in these five states spent nearly $3.3 billion on energy efficiency and other demand-side management (DSM) programs during 2010-18. These programs helped households and businesses save energy and lower their electricity bills through education, technical assistance and rebates for energy saving measures. Most of the programs focus on lowering electricity consumption, but some programs focus on reducing peak electric demand. For the utilities covered, total funding across the five states increased from about $259 million in 2010 to $323 million in 2019.

Figure 1 shows the total amount that utilities spent on energy efficiency and other DSM programs during 2010-19 by state. Program spending was highest in Arizona and Colorado, the two largest states in terms of population and economic activity.

Households and businesses in the region lowered their electricity use in 2019 by about 18.7 billion kWh as a result of the utility energy efficiency program implemented during the
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This is equivalent to the amount of electricity used annually by about 2.1 million typical households in the region. If this investment in energy efficiency had not been made, total electricity consumption in the region would have been about 8% greater than it actually was in 2019.

The overall energy savings achievement also means that utilities in the region avoided constructing and operating nine large (300 MW) baseload power plants as a result of the efficiency programs implemented during 2010-19, or their equivalent. Avoiding construction of these power plants along with associated transmission and distribution lines saved utilities (or third party owners of power plants) billions of dollars, investments that if they had been made would eventually be paid for by utility customers.

Figure 2 shows the total energy savings in 2019 by state, from programs implemented during 2010-19. Utilities in Arizona were responsible for around 44% of total energy savings in the region, utilities in Colorado were responsible for around 22%, utilities in Nevada and Utah around 14% each, and utilities in New Mexico around 7%. Figure 5 shows the relative size of the states in terms of population.

The energy efficiency programs implemented by electric utilities in the Southwest provide economic benefits that far exceed the costs of the programs and measures. Figure 3 shows the net economic benefits that households and business will realize over the lifetime of the energy efficiency measures installed through utility programs during 2010-19, by state. The net economic benefits were calculated by the utilities using the benefit-cost methodology approved in each state. In total, the utilities estimate that consumers will realize about $7.8 billion in net
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economic benefits as a result of energy efficiency programs implemented during 2010-19. The projected economic benefits are greatest in Arizona, followed by Colorado and Utah.

Table 1 shows the benefit-cost ratios as of 2019 for the portfolio of energy efficiency and other DSM programs implemented by the major utilities in the region. The benefit-cost ratios range from 1.2 to 4.5.

**Figure 2. Energy Savings as of 2019 from Utility Energy Efficiency Programs**
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**Figure 3. Net Economic Benefits from Utility Energy Efficiency Programs, 2010-19**
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The energy efficiency programs implemented by electric utilities in the Southwest also provide environmental benefits by reducing the operation of coal-fired and natural gas-fired power plants, which are still the primary sources of electric power in the region. Figure 4 shows the estimated reduction in carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions as of 2019, due to utility energy efficiency programs implemented during 2010-19. We estimate that utilities in the region reduced their CO₂ emissions in 2019 by about 12 million metric tons as result of their energy efficiency programs. This level of emissions reduction is equivalent to taking about 2.6 million typical passenger vehicles off the road for one year.
Utilities in the region also reduced their emissions of other pollutants including NOx, sulfur dioxide, particulate matter and heavy metals as a result of the energy efficiency programs implemented during 2010-19. These reductions in pollutant emissions improve public health as well as improve visibility in national parks and monuments. In addition, utility energy efficiency programs in the region reduce water consumption for power generation. SWEEP estimates that utilities in the region reduced their water consumption in 2019 by around 7.0 billion gallons (21,500 acre-feet) as a result of energy efficiency programs implemented during 2010-19.

Figure 4. Carbon Dioxide Emissions Reduction as of 2019 from Utility Energy Efficiency Programs

In summary, households and businesses in the Southwest are realizing large economic benefits as a result of the energy efficiency programs implemented by electric utilities in the region. Likewise, the environment is benefitting from lower CO₂ and other pollutant emissions by power plants. These benefits will grow in the future as energy efficiency programs continue to stimulate the adoption of energy-efficient appliances, lights, buildings, industrial processes and the like. Nine large power plants have already been avoided as a result of utility energy efficiency programs, and more will be avoided as these programs continue to provide cost-effective energy savings.
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Table 1. Overall Benefit-Cost Ratio for Portfolio of Energy Efficiency Programs, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility</th>
<th>Benefit-Cost Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Public Service (APS)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV Energy</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service of New Mexico (PNM)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain Power (RMP)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt River Project (SRP)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson Electric Power (TEP)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xcel Energy Colorado</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The benefit-cost ratios were calculated by the utilities using the primary cost effectiveness methodology adopted in each state.

Figure 5. 2019 State Population